

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15618-2022
Date of decision: 18.04.2022**

Shakuntala Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. S. K. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to official respondents to decide the representation dated 26.02.2022 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioner.

A perusal of the aforesaid representation would show that it is alleged by the petitioner that one Satpal, who is brother of the petitioner/representationist, had adopted Ravi Kant from his real father Pardeep Kumar through registered adoption deed dated 11.01.2006 and later on, in 2005, the child was given back to his natural father Pardeep Kumar. It is further stated that brother of the petitioner, Satpal, died on 06.07.2016 and thereafter, still the adopted child is claiming benefits on the basis of the adoption.

It is further stated that on the basis of the adoption deed, aforesaid Ravi Kant has got a mutation sanctioned in his favour and the same is liable to be set aside and criminal action may be initiated against him.

From the perusal of the aforesaid representation as well as the petition, it is apparent that there is a dispute with regard to adoption by the brother of the petitioner i.e. Satpal (since deceased). It is the own case of the petitioner that from 2006 to 2016, the adoption deed was valid, when some affidavit was sworn in by said Satpal and Pardeep Kumar had taken back Ravi Kant.

Be whatsoever, it is purely a civil dispute between the parties.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

18.04.2022
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No