

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-15625-2022
Date of Decision: 18.04.2022

Rajesh

Versus

..... Petitioner

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Bharat Bhushan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Notice served upon the official respondent through the State’s counsel.

Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition for quashing of FIR
on the ground that the petitioner was named in the FIR.

On the contrary, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that later on
wife of the complainant has absolved the petitioner and has stated that he was not
present.

Be that as it may, this is a subject matter of the investigation and it shall be
open for the petitioner to argue this point, before the appropriate Court, in case challan
under Section 173 Cr.P.C is filed and prosecution is launched against him. Furthermore, in
case, charges are framed, it shall be open for the petitioner to challenge the same and the
filing of present petition shall not come in the way.

The present petition is having been disposed of with aforesaid liberty. All
pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.04.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No