

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15146-2022
Date of decision: 08.04.2022

Sunil Kumar Gaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.102 dated 12.04.2021, registered at Police Station New Colony, Gurugram, District Gurugram, under Sections 380 and 457 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and rather has been indicted on the disclosure statement of the co-accused, and that the petitioner is not acquainted with the co-accused.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A. G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that stolen jewellery items are yet to be recovered.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the case of the prosecution, four boys arrived at the

spot out of which three had entered the house of complainant-Hari Mohan Yadav, and committed theft. Some silver crockery items and currency notes, amounting to Rs.20,000/- have been recovered from the co-accused.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner that the stolen jewellery items had been sold to him, which are yet to be recovered. Moreover, co-accused, namely, Saurabh and Gaurav hail from Ferozabad and the petitioner is also a permanent resident of Ferozabad.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court finds that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

08.04.2022

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No