

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15180-2022
Date of decision : 10.05.2022

Sandeep Singh Thakur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate with
Ms.Harveen Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Zorawar Singh, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.67 dated 31.03.2022 registered under Sections 323 and 506 IPC at Police Station Sector 6, Dharuhera, District Rewari.

On 08.04.2022, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of the anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case registered against him vide FIR No.67 dated 31.03.2022 under Sections 323, 506 IPC with Police Station Sector 6, Dharuhera, District Rewari.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the complainant-Anu Kumari.

Notice of motion for 10.05.2022.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 26.04.2022 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any. Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit as to the exact role of the petitioner and his co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 08, 2022”

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Neetu, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 08.04.2022,

and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.04.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 10, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No