

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24743-2016

Date of Decision: 29th July, 2022

Ranjodh Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.5.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed seeking directions to the Investigating Agency not to conduct multiple inquiries in the same matter.

On 25th July, 2022 following order was passed:-

“ Learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that the reply filed by the State has created a confusion. In the allegation, the subject matter of this writ petition, the respondent is pursuing his remedy under Section 156 Cr.P.C and no FIR has been registered till date.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Sanjeev Bhagatana submits that as on date since there is no FIR registered, the presence of the petitioner is not required in the present case and in case need so arises, he would be summoned after complying the due procedure.

List on 29th July, 2022.”

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 retreats that his client is availing remedies under Section 156 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sanjeev Bhagtana submits that as on date there is no FIR registered, hence there is no occasion to initiate any inquiry.

In view of the above, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29th July, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No