

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15281-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.04.2022

Vishal @ Kattu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 194 dated 21.08.2021 registered under Sections 379-B, 411, 468, 471, 120-B and 149 IPC, at Police Station Cantonment Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case, as he was neither named in the FIR, nor he was arrested from the spot; that the alleged snatched car has been recovered from co-accused Sky Love Masih @ Rohit and Manmohit Deep Singh; that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have also gone through the paper-book.

It is a case, wherein co- Sky Love Masih @ Rohit and Manmohit Deep Singh, from whom the recovery of alleged car was effected, have specifically stated that they have purchased the said car from the petitioner through Jaspal Masih (uncle-*Fufa* of the petitioner)

Considering the seriousness of the nature of the allegations against the petitioner, his custodial interrogation is must to unearth the truth. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

18.04.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No