

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 7766 OF 2022
DATE OF DECISION: 18.04.2022**

Lalita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Jasbir Singh Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is before this Court, *inter-alia*, seeking quashing of impugned result dated 18.02.2022 (Annexure P-9) for the purpose of Multipurpose Health Workers (hereinafter referred to as “MPHW”) against advertisement No.15/2019 Category No.04 and 20 dated 07.09.2019 (Annexure P-1). Further prayer has been made for awarding 05 marks under the socio-economic criteria.

2. Petitioner applied for the post of MPHW under the scheduled caste category. Sister of the petitioner was in government job, therefore, she filled ‘yes’ in the relevant column. She appeared for written test on 25.07.2021. Later she came to know that her sister does not fall under the definition of Family. She is thus eligible for additional 05 marks under the socio-economic criteria. She submitted fresh undertaking qua the same. However, she has not been granted 05 marks under the said category. The respondent-Commission declared result on 18.02.2022 wherein her name does not figure out. Petitioner has secured 56 marks as against the last

selected candidate, namely, Rekha Rani having secured 58 marks.

Candidate with 57 marks is in the waiting list.

3. In the premise, petitioner also filed representation dated 23.02.2022 (Annexure P-10) which has not been adverted till date. Hence, the petition.

4. On advance service of copy of the petition, learned State counsel appears and opposes issuance of notice of motion. He submits that the competent authority shall decide the representation either way in due course.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection if the representation of the petitioner is decided within a prescribed period.

6. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the representation dated 23.02.2022 (Annexure P-10) and decide the same either way by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.

8. Let the needful be done within a period of 30 days from today.

9. Disposed of accordingly.

APRIL 18, 2022
Shalini

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No