

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106+237

CRM-M-15333-2022

Date of Decision: 05.05.2022

Nirmal Singh @ Nimma & others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Baldev Singh.

Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate,
for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.115, dated 25.12.2021, Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar, under Sections 379-B, 427, 323 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on), wherein it is alleged that on 25.12.2021 when the complainant was proceeding to Jalandhar for the purpose of buying buses for his employer Vishal Mahajan who had given him an amount of Rs.25 lakhs, four persons snatched Rs.22 lakhs which had been kept in a bag from him apart from snatching another amount of Rs.1200/- which he was carrying in his wallet and a mobile phone of the driver of the complainant.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the FIR in question came to be lodged under some misunderstandings and that the matter has

since been resolved and the parties have effected a compromise. It has further been submitted that identically situated co-accused has already been granted bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has feigned ignorance of compromise and has submitted that since serious allegations of snatching huge amount have been levelled, no case for grant of bail is made out.
4. Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant Rajinder Singh as well as the victim Vishal Mahajan and filed power of attorney on their behalf. The same is taken on record.
5. Learned counsel for the complainant has categorically stated that the parties have indeed entered into a compromise and that he has no objection for grant of bail.
6. In view of the aforestated position, wherein the matter is stated to have been compromised and otherwise the challan already stands presented, further detention of petitioner is not justified. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
7. This order shall also enure offence under Section 27 of the Arms Act, as has been prayed in CRM-13992-2022.

05.05.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No