

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16442-2021
Date of decision:12.09.2022

Ram Chander Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sukhveer S. Killianwali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already joined investigation and, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

12.09.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No