

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-24647-2018

Date of Decision: August 24, 2022

GURPREET SINGH @ BOBY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties and a petition bearing CRM-M No.21755 of 2019 filed under Section 482 Cr. P.C. for quashing of the FIR No.191 dated 20.09.2017 registered under Sections 420/120-B IPC at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana on basis of compromise has been filed and is pending before this Court on 24.11.2022. He states that the present petition had been filed under Section 482 Cr. P.C. for quashing of the same FIR on merits.

He submits that in view of the compromise having been arrived at between the parties and the petition for quashing the same FIR by way of compromise having been filed before this Court wherein notice has been issued, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned State counsel does not have instructions regarding

compromise however, he does not have any objection if this matter is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Though, there is an adjournment slip circulated on behalf of the petitioner, but in view of the factum of compromise having been arrived at between the parties and the fact that a petition for quashing of the same FIR as involved in this case having been filed and notice having been issued, this petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get this petition revived in case, any cause of action still survives.

August 24, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No