

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16430-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.02.2022

Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.472 dated 10.10.2019 registered under Section 302 IPC and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that four material witnesses i.e. PW-2-complainant-Puran, son of the deceased, PW-4-Sarita-wife of deceased, PW-6-Mahender-another son of the deceased and one eye witness-Sumesh, have been declared hostile and that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.11.2019.

Learned State counsel, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner. He submits that the case is at the state of evidence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 11.11.2019. All the material witnesses have been declared hostile. The case is at the stage of evidence, therefore, the conclusion of the trial would taken a long time. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

10.02.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No