

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15137-2022

Date of decision : 18.04.2022

Jaspreet Singh @ Sahil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order vide which the petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.135 dated 04.09.2014 registered under Sections 326, 324, 323, 506, 365, 341, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana City (Annexure P-1).

It has been pointed out to the learned counsel for the petitioner that apart from petition i.e. CRM-M-39317-2019 filed by the petitioner, another petition i.e. CRM-M-47094-2021 was also filed by the petitioner in which following order was passed:-

“After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner intends to surrender before the authority concerned so as to seek the benefit of

regular bail, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition and prays that a direction be issued to the trial Court to decide the bail application, if any, presented by the petitioner after he surrenders expeditiously.

This Court has no apprehension that if the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court and an application for the grant of benefit of regular bail is filed by him, the trial Court will not decide the same expeditiously. Hence, no orders are needed to be passed in this regard.

The present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

November 11, 2021 Sd/- (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he was not aware about filing of the said petition as the same was filed through separate counsel. It is however submitted that in view of the said petition having been filed and not having been disclosed, he be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

This Court has no doubt regarding the bona fide of the learned counsel for the petitioner as it is the petitioner who had not informed him about filing of the said petition i.e. CRM-M-47094-2021, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 11.11.2021.

18.04.2022

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No