

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7824-2022

Date of Decision: April 19, 2022

SUNITA

..... PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Reetesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, A. A.G., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, who is serving as a Sanskrit Teacher in Haryana Education Department, seeks that service rendered by her as a Sanskrit Teacher from 07.07.1993 to 30.04.1998 at a Government aided school i.e. Guru Nanak Girls High School, Quilla Mohalla, Rohtak, Haryana be counted for computation of her pension, retiral benefits and other allowances etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was earlier employed as a Sanskrit Mistress with the Government aided school as mentioned above, was appointed as a Sanskrit Teacher with the respondent-Education Department vide appointment letter dated 27.04.1998 and she joined on 30.04.1998 after being relieved from the school in question on the same day. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has

submitted a representation dated 10.12.2021, Annexure P-4, in this respect before the Director General, Panchkula and to District Primary Education Officer, Rohtak, but to no avail. Reliance is placed upon decision dated 27.11.2009 in CWP-4782-2009, titled '**Chander Pal Vs. State of Haryana and others**' to buttress the petitioner's claim. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer for a decision on the representation dated 10.12.2021, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, A. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. Advance copy of the writ petition stands served. Learned counsel for the State does not raise any serious objection to the restricted prayer addressed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, but without any expression of opinion this writ petition is disposed of with a direction that present writ petition be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and same be decided by the competent authority within three months from receipt of certified copy of this order. In case of an order adverse to the petitioner, same be by way of a speaking order.

19.04.2022

Sunil

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No