

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CRWP-3209-2022

Date of Decision : **August 17, 2022**

JANNU AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 5.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass the petitioners and the family members of petitioner No.1 and her relatives at the instance of respondents No.4 to 8.

In the present case when notice of motion was issued, an interim direction was issued to the SSP, Amritsar Rural, Amritsar to look into the threat perception of the petitioners and in case any threat existed then to ensure that the petitioners be protected. The threat was from the private respondents who are the parents of petitioner No.1, who is a girl, although the age of the girl is in dispute but the scope of the present petition was only for the protection of life and liberty.

Today, the learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5-Aalam and Rano who are the parents of petitioner No.1-girl has stated that now the girl is voluntarily residing with them and there is no threat from anybody since now petitioner No.1 has already left the company of petitioner No.2.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that in view of the aforesaid position, he does not wish to press the present petition since it has become redundant.

Dismissed as having become redundant.

August 17, 2022
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No