

223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15326-2022

Date of Decision: 21st April, 2022

Satnam Singh @ Sonu Billa

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This is fourth petition filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 1, dated 2nd January, 2019, under Section 22 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'the Act') registered at Police Station City-I, Moga, District Moga.
2. The main thrust for seeking regular bail is on the ground of custody period.
3. The prayer is being opposed by learned State counsel submitting petitioner is involved in other cases under the Act. He on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh submits that most of the prosecution witnesses are police officials and an earnest effort would be made to examine the prosecution witnesses within four months from the date fixed in the trial Court.
4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present

petition at this stage.

- 5. Dismissed as withdrawn.
- 6. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for examining the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

21st April, 2022
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |