

Narjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Jitender S.Chahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Advocate,
Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent Nos.1 to 3-UOI.

Mr. Randhir Bawa, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.6.

None for respondent Nos.5 and 7.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.1 to 3 not to reject the candidature of the petitioner for the post of Tradesmen Mate, with certain other prayers made in the present petition.

It is submitted by the counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 that pursuant to interim order dated 12.04.2022, the petitioner has already been permitted to participate in the process of selection. Therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

The fact qua participation in the process of selection is not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner. Hence, the present petition is rendered as infructuous qua the prayer regarding participation in the process of selection. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered as infructuous qua the relief claimed against respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Regarding the remaining relief claimed in the present petition, the counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars and specific prayers.

Dismissed as withdrawn qua the remaining relief claimed in the present petition with liberty aforesaid.

The pending miscellaneous application, if any, is also disposed of as such.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

16.11.2022
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No