

Harjinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Sumit Singh

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Kanwar Pahul Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 26.11.2020, in CWP-PIL-174-2020.
3. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 26.11.2020 reveals that CWP-PIL-174-2020 was disposed of in the light of statement of learned Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, that the concerned authority would consider and take a decision on the representations dated 21.08.2018 and 12.08.2019, submitted by the petitioner, at the earliest. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful within the stipulated period of time.
4. Learned AAG, Punjab, has placed on record copy of status report dated 04.03.2022, filed by the Civil Surgeon, Amritsar, wherein it has been mentioned that in compliance of order Annexure P/1 dated 26.11.2020, in CWP-PIL-174-2020, orders had been issued posting

Dr. Tanvir Kaur, at Primary Health Centre, Attar, District Amritsar, vide order bearing Endst. No.1/44/20-1H1/4987 dated 23.12.2020, that the concerned Medial Officer, joined duty on 24.12.2020, that the respondent has the highest regard for the orders of the High Court and cannot think of disobeying the orders intentionally / willfully and in any case, the respondent tenders unconditional and unqualified apology for the same. Copy of status report is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof, states that in view of the needful having been done, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

5. In the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action is called for against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Accordingly, the contempt petition is *disposed of* as such.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.03.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No