

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

**CRWP-3279-2022
Date of decision: 08.04.2022**

AMANPREET KAUR AND ANR

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. A.K. Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate for wife of petitioner No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Amanpreet Kaur Vs. Asmail have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that the petitioners are major and are staying together. However, their relationship is not acceptable to the private respondents and as a result thereof, the private respondents are threatening the petitioners. A representation dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) against the threat extended at the hands of the private respondents already stands submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar (wrongly mentioned as Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar in the petition as well as representation).

The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Ms. A.K. Khurana, DAG Punjab has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate has also appeared on behalf of Jagjit Kaur, alleged to be the earlier wife of petitioner No.2 and he submits that the

petitioner no.2 has not disclosed the fact that he is already married with Jagjit Kaur and has two children out of said wedlock. It is, however, not disputed by him that no criminal case/complaint has been instituted by said Jagjit Kaur against the petitioner No.2.

As such, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances. He is further directed to give a proper opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned before taking any action in the matter.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

April 08, 2022
rajender

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>