

**(243) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-24404-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.12.2022**

Sangeeta Sharma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present : Mr. R.S. Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh Dhakla, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed for quashing of judgment dated 22.09.2017 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat in Criminal Revision No.85 of 2017 whereby order dated 12.05.2015 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat, summoning of respondents No.2 and 3 was set aside.

Learned State counsel, at the very outset, contends that the controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court in **Sukhpal Singh Khaira** v. **The State of Punjab**; Criminal Appeal No.885 of 2019; dated 05.12.2022 and the instant petition has rendered infructuous.

This contention of the learned State counsel is not controverted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

13.12.2022

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No