

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

268

CRM-M-24327-2016

Date of Decision: 23.08.2022

Kuldeep

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ranjeet K. Jaswal, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the charges were framed way back on 30.03.2018 and the present petition was filed on 18.07.2016.

Since the judicial order was passed for framing of charges, as such, the present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR without challenging the framing of charges, is not maintainable.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a petition for challenging the framing of charges, if so desire. It is made clear in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

23.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No