

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 17.05.2022
(1)CWP-7276-2020

Vajir Singh and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(2)CWP-7277-2020
Suresh Kumar and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(3)CWP-7278-2020
Rajesh Kumar		...Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(4)CWP-7896-2020
Raj Kumar and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(5)CWP-8484-2020
JaulHak and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(6)CWP-4103-2020
Parmod Kumar and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(7)CWP-10482-2020
Amit Kumar		...Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(8)CWP-13717-2021
Naveen Kumar		...Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(9)CWP-26560-2021
Jaipal Singh and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

		(10) CWP-5457-2020
Rajat and another		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(11) CWP-6942-2020
PadamDutt and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(12) CWP-7151-2021
Satbir and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(13) CWP-7381-2020
Rajesh Kumar and another		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(14) CWP-7471-2021
Sajid Ahmed and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(15) CWP-7683-2020
Pawan Kumar and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(16) CWP-8143-2020
Karam Veer and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(17) CWP-8275-2020
Sunny Bharat and others		...Petitioners
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(18) CWP-8999-2021
Ram Kishan		...Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents
		(19) CWP-9360-2021
Anil Kumar		...Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

(20) CWP-9408-2021

Sonu Kumar ...Petitioner
versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
(21) CWP-9478-2021

Narender Kumar ...Petitioner
versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
(22) CWP-2224-2022

Deepak Kumar and others ...Petitioners
versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
(23) CWP-3973-2022

Mohd. Salim and others ...Petitioners
versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. R.K.Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate,
Mr. Jay Prakash Jangu, Advocate,
Mr. Devender Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate,
Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate,
Ms. Shalini Attri, Advocate,
Mr.Gopal Soni, Advocate,
Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate,
Mr.Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocate,
Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
Ms. Rajni, Advocate,
For the petitioners.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Aforementioned 23 writ petitions are being disposed of vide
common order since facts as well as issues involved therein are similar. For
brevity, recitals are from CWP-7276-2020.

2. Impugned herein is an administrative decision dated 06.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) to dispense with the services of the petitioners, who at the relevant time were working as 'Security Guard'/ 'Home Guards'.

3. During pendency of the writ proceedings, another subsequent office order dated 29.03.2022 has been recently passed, copy whereof has been circulated in course of hearing, which is taken on record and marked as Annexure 'A'. Soft copy has also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners. Perusal of the same reflects that those Security Guards, who are actually Home Guards, shall hence forthwith be not joined as Security Guards. Their services instead shall be outsourced through Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam, set up under the administrative control of Skill Development and Industrial Training Department, Haryana.

4. In view of the aforesaid subsequent office order, since the petitioners have already been accorded the protection of continuity in service, no further proceedings are warranted.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 17, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No