

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-7928-2022

Date of Decision: 20.04.2022

Sanjeev Dixit and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J.(Oral)

In respect of their grievance with regard to non delivery of vacant physical possession of plots No.801, 800P and 802, the petitioners are stated to have got issued a legal notice to the respondents (copy Annexure P/9).

Learned counsel submits that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice within a specific time period.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to hear the petitioners and to thereafter pass a speaking order on the legal notice issued, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Naturally, if the petitioners are found entitled to possession being handed over to them, it would be handed over to them immediately thereafter.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

20.04.2022
Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No