

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15062-2022

Date of decision: 18.08.2022

Rahul Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep S. Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.282 dated 17.08.2021, registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, under Sections 379-A and 34 IPC and Section 384 IPC (added later on).

Copy of reply dated 04.07.2022, by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was implicated in the present case on the basis of his own disclosure statement; that all the material witnesses, including the complainant, have already been examined; that co-accused, namely, Anil Kumar and Lakhan @ Viraj have already been extended the concession of regular bail by a Coordinate Bench, vide orders dated 25.03.2022 and 21.04.2022 passed in CRM-M-11865-2022 and CRM-M-15303-2022, respectively, and that the petitioner has been in custody since 21.08.2021.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the petitioner had actively participated in the offence and that in pursuance of the disclosure statement of the petitioner, an amount of Rs.1000/-, one ATM of complainant had been recovered.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The complainant has already been examined. Some of the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. As stated above, the co-accused have already been granted the concession of regular bail. The petitioner has been in custody since 21.08.2021. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

18.08.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No