

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA-300-2022

DECIDED ON: 18<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2022

NIDHI AND ANOTHER

.....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate  
for the appellants.

\*\*\*

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J (ORAL)

This appeal has been preferred against the order dated 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2022 passed by learned Single Judge.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the order passed by the learned Single Judge is fully justified in those circumstances.

Counsel for the appellants submits that thereafter also threat, which was alleged before the learned Single Judge, persist and the appellants may be given liberty to approach respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula with a detailed representation mentioning therein the specific threat which has been received by the appellants from the private respondents.

In case such a representation is submitted to the Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, it would be open to the said authority to consider the same and if some substance is found therein, to take appropriate steps in accordance with law if required.

Disposed off, accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

18<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2022  
sham