

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7571-2022

Date of decision: 08.04.2022

Bikram Singh and another**Petitioners.**

vs.

State of Punjab and others**Respondents.**

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: - Mr. R.D. Rattewal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab

.....

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for directing the respondents to fix their seniority in the SC (R&O) for Class-IV SLA.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioners, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 01.12.2021 (P-3) is decided expeditiously.

Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice

dated 01.12.2021 (P-3), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure, petitioners would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of present writ petition.

08.04.2022
preeti

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
whether reportable	yes/no