

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.24293 of 2018

Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

Sher Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Rajender Yadav, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. C.B. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Short reply submitted on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur (Yamuna Nagar), is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

However, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, as per the instructions from the arguing counsel, seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance as set-forth in this petition.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

September 19, 2022

Yag Dutt

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No