

CRM-M-14810-2022

Date of decision: 28.09.2022

ANIL KUMAR @ SUNIL KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 07.04.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.10 dated 07.03.2022 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, registered at Police Station Dorangla, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that mainly allegation with regard to extra-marital relationship has been imputed against the petitioner. The marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 22.01.2017 and a daughter, presently aged about 4 years, is also born from the wedlock. The petitioner is ready and willing to amicably settle the matrimonial dispute.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant has expressed his willingness for exploring the possibility of amicable settlement through the process of mediation.

Let the parties appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 04.05.2022.

The petitioner is directed to pay a sum of Rs. 30,000/- to the complainant on her appearance before the Mediator to facilitate her presence and participation in the mediation proceedings.

For awaiting the report of the Mediator, adjourned to

23.08.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 07.04.2022, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is allowed.

28.09.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No