

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16464-2021

Date of decision : 15.07.2022

Vikrant Bedi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sandeep Kumar Bokolia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gurdeep Singh Nehra, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.86 dated 02.03.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 342, 380, 427, 452, 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Rewari City, District Rewari.

On 15.04.2021, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case only as the pressure tactic at the behest of the complainant to resolve the dispute relating to the hotel of his relative. Also, it is submitted that even though, the injury with the weapon is stated to have been attributed to the present petitioner but, however, the kind of weapon, as such is not evident, on the basis whereof the Arms Act has been registered. Even, it is submitted that co-accused Vikas Bedi has been granted benefit of interim bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 18.03.2021 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion for 27.05.2021.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate has also put in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation, as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

15.04.2021”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation on 27.11.2021 and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 15.04.2021, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 15.04.2021 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 15, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No