

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.11915 of 2020 (O&M)  
Decided on: 24.03.2022

Sukhwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present :** Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.51 dated 02.06.2019, registered under Sections 420/120-B IPC at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur.

On 28.07.2020, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed:-

*“...Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset submits that initially a complaint was given against the petitioner and the matter was duly compromised between Sukhwinder Singh and Tarsem Singh (P-3). Despite this fact, F.I.R. has been registered now. Earlier CRM-M-6928-2020 (P-4) was also filed for quashing of F.I.R. which is pending before this Court. The co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court on 18.03.2020 (P5).*

*Notice of motion for 27.10.2020....”*

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 28.07.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Thereafter, the case was adjourned as Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 and 201 IPC were added on 16.09.2020.

Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the challan stands presented.

Counsel for the complainant has, however, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner that there is some compromise between the parties is not correct.

Considering the fact that a period of about 01 year and 09 months has already passed and the petitioner has joined the investigation and there is no allegation that the petitioner has either misused the concession of bail or extended any threat to the complainant/prosecution witnesses, this petition is allowed and the order dated 28.07.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

24.03.2022  
yakub

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:       | Yes/No |