

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15694 of 2022 (O&M)**

**DECIDED ON: 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2022**

**Gurpreet Singh @ Bharo**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**State of Punjab**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.**

Present: Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate for complainant.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

This fourth petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 60, dated 13.04.2019, under Sections 308, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Section 307, 324, 325, 341 IPC added lateron, registered at Police Station City-1, Sangrur, District Sangrur. As per the counsel for the petitioner, the earlier two petitions were dismissed as withdrawn and third petition was disposed of with liberty to approach the Sessions Court.

The FIR was result of an incident that took place on 12.04.2019. Raj Kumar was inflicted injuries by Gurpreet Singh @ Bhairo (petitioner), Gurchant Singh, Jaswant Kaur @ Nana, Prem Singh @ Premi, Roop Singh, Jasvir Kaur, Vijay Kumar and Gurmukh Singh @ Guri. The role attributed to the petitioner is that he gave a Dah blow on the head of Rafi Kumar injured.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 03.05.2019. Co-accused were granted bail by this Court. The contention is that injury attributed to the petitioner was declared simple. None of the injury was declared dangerous to life and only four prosecution witnesses have been examined till date. There is no substantial progress in the trial.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail, submits that petitioner is involved in other cases. He further submits that injured sustained fourteen injuries. He in view of the deposition of Doctor is not in a position to dispute the fact that injuries are not dangerous to life.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or on deposition of the Doctor, considering the custody period, the fact that out of nineteen prosecution witnesses only four have been examined and co-accused were granted bail by this Court, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25<sup>th</sup> April, 2022

*reema*

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |