

**CRM-M-14888-2022**

**103**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14888-2022**

**Date of Decision: April 07, 2022**

Gaurav Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr.Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Dinesh Kumar Prajapati, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

.....

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)**

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.  
praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.195,  
dated 19.03.2022, under Sections 323, 341, 498-A, 506 IPC, registered at  
Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that  
petitioner is a journalist and though he has been involved in other cases, as  
noted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, however, he  
has been acquitted in most of the cases. It is further submitted that without  
following the guidelines and conducting preliminary enquiry, the present  
FIR has been registered against the petitioner. He submits that allegations of  
beating and causing harassment and cruelty etc., as alleged in the FIR, are  
totally false and frivolous and thus, no case for custodial interrogation is  
made out and hence he deserves to be granted concession of anticipatory

**CRM-M-14888-2022**

bail.

Heard.

After hearing the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it is apparent that besides the specific allegations in the FIR, there is specific list placed on record by learned counsel for the complainant showing that seven other cases were registered against the petitioner on earlier occasion also. Though in number of cases he has been acquitted, however, at the time of considering anticipatory bail, the parameters under Section 438(1) Cr.P.C. cannot be ignored, one of such is that antecedents of the petitioner are to be taken into consideration.

In view of the attending facts and circumstances of the case, the Court finds that no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. The petition, being devoid of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

April 07, 2022

meenuss

**( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )**  
**JUDGE**

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?**
- 2. Whether reportable ?**

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**