

221.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3422-2021

Date of Decision: 21.02.2022

(Heard through VC)

RANDEEP SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas, Advocate, for
Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition that has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 4 to ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioner which is under imminent danger at the hands of respondents No.6 to 9. Prayer has also been made to quash the impugned order dated 03.03.2021 (Annexure P-6).

Reply filed by way of an affidavit by Sandeep Kumar, HPS,
Deputy Superintendent of Police, Madlauda, District Panipat, on behalf of

respondents No.1 to 9, is taken on record.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in FIR No.292, dated 29.07.2020, registered under Sections 120-B, 34, 342, 364-A, 379-A of IPC (Sections 323, 201, 341, 379-B, 384, 387 of IPC and Section 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 added lateron). It is alleged that the FIR was got registered on a complaint made by Sayed Abu Tahir, who was posted as RCM (Resident Construction Manager) in Larsen and Toubro Company, alleging that some local goons had made threatening calls to the employees and him, and were demanding ransom and work in the nature of engagement of their vehicles with the Company. In fact some employees had been abducted. It is submitted that without following any due process, impugned order dated 03.03.2021 came to be passed by the Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula, declaring an award of Rs.50,000/- to be given for information leading to the arrest of the accused Randeep Singh, the petitioner herein. Counsel would argue that in fact the petitioner herein had approached this Court by way of CRM-M-17736-2021 and was allowed to join investigation by an order dated 28.04.2021, consequent to which, the interim bail allowed to the petitioner was made absolute by this Court on 25.08.2021. Counsel would also rely upon the reply filed by the State wherein in para 5 of the affidavit, it is submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated and, therefore, his custodial interrogation would not be required. The petitioner has also furnished security bonds.

In view of the reply filed, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press this instant petition. Consequently, the instant petition stands disposed of.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

21.02.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No