

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP-3151-2022

Date of Decision: 06.04.2022

Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanjeev Kumar Aneja Advocate and ors

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of direction to official respondents No. 1 to 4 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no. 5 to 10.

Petitioner No.5 is married to respondent No.7. Petitioners No. 1 and 2 are the parents of petitioner No.5. Petitioner No.3 is the sister of petitioner No.5. Petitioner No.4 is the younger brother of petitioner No.5. Petitioners no. 1 to 3 and 5 are practising advocates.

The marriage of petitioner No.5 with respondent No.7 was solemnized on 16.04.2020. Some differences has arisen between

the petitioner No.5 and respondent No.7. It is alleged that on 02.04.2022 respondents No. 6 to 10 alongwith some other persons entered the house of the petitioners. They were accompanied by 4-5 other persons. They started shouting, abusing and threatening the petitioners. It is alleged that harm would have caused to the petitioners but for the intervention of the neighbours and of two policeman who also happened to come to the house of the petitioner. In this regard a representation was submitted to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat through e-mail as the petitioners apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

On instructions, learned State counsel states that a complaint has been filed by respondent no.7 against the petitioner no. 1 and petitioner no.5 regarding physical assault and demand of dowry. Respondent No.7 has also filed another complaint against petitioner No.1 of alleged molestation. He states that alongwith the complaint respondent No.7 has submitted an MLR as per which she has sustained two injuries, one of which is simple and the second is kept under observation.

Regarding the complaints filed by respondent No.7, certainly action would be taken as per law.

The limited prayer of the petitioners is that they apprehend danger to their lives at the hands of the private respondents. In regard to which they have already submitted a representation (P-2)

Without in any way commenting on the merits of the case or the veracity of the allegations in the representation the present

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation of the petitioners only with respect to danger to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

April 06, 2022
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no