

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

CRM-M-27111-2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 18, 2022

JAGDEEP SINGH @ SONU AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that the challenge in this petition was to the order passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur whereby the maintenance to be paid by the respondent-father to the petitioners who were minor at that time was restricted to the date from 26.04.2006 instead of from the date of application. He submits that during the interregnum, the petitioners have since attained majority and the father has since expired, hence he prays that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Accordingly, no further order is required to be passed in the matter and the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 18, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No