

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7459-2022

Date of decision : 08.04.2022

M/s Prince Enterprises and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation
Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

The only prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners is for issuing direction to the respondent – authorities to stay their hands in issuing tender notice for getting the remaining work conducted after cancelling/terminating the contract awarded to the petitioners.

When confronted with the fact that the petitioners have already invoked clause 24 of the contract agreement dated 03.08.2018 (Annexure P-2), providing dispute redressal system, by raising a dispute under clause 24.1 thereof, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that the petitioners may be permitted to pursue the matter before the competent authority.

In view of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners as also the settled law that parallel proceedings cannot be permitted to be proceeded before this Court, the petition is disposed of

directing the petitioners to pursue the dispute raised by them before the concerned authority. They will also be at liberty to file an appropriate application seeking early decision of their dispute.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

April 08, 2022
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No