

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3309-2022

Date of Decision:- 18.04.2022

TILAK RAJ

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr.Amit Kumar Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of directions, order or writ in the nature of mandamus for protection of life and liberty of petitioner and his family from respondents No.5 to 7.

Counsel for the petitioner submitted that representation / complaint (Annexure P-3) in this regard has also been moved before the concerned authorities, but no action has been taken as of now.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of

the respondents No.1 to 3.

The counsel for the petitioner that he will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent No.2 to take action on the aforesaid representation.

State counsel submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In the light of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

18.04.2022
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No