

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

252

**CRWP-3147-2022
Date of Decision: 24.05.2022**

DINESH

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vinay Kumar Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana

Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 and 5.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
2. Permission granted.
3. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
4. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to either move an application under Section 145 Cr.P.C. before the Executive Magistrate concerned, or, to institute before the learned civil court concerned, a suit for rendition of accounts, and, also for possession of the disputed premises. Moreover, it is also open to the petitioner to, within the above suit, cast an application seeking ad interim relief of interim restoration of possession to him by the petitioner, qua the disputed commercial establishment.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

May 24, 2022
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No