

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218)

CWP-7323 of 2019

Date of Decision: 26.08.2022

Manoj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhar, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the petitioner challenge to the order dated 09.03.2019 (Annexure P-2) by which, the petitioner has been transferred. The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner have been transferred contrary to the transfer policy dated 06.10.2004 (Anneuxre P-3).

While issuing notice of motion on 18.03.2019, the operation of the impugned order was stayed. The learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is already working at a place prior to the issuance of the impugned order, he has instructions to submit that the impugned order has not given effect to qua the petitioner any further but the department be given liberty to consider the petitioner for transfer in accordance with law henceforth.

Keeping in view the above, as the respondents have already stated that the impugned order will not be given to any effect any further, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as

such with liberty to the respondents as prayed for.

August 26, 2022
dinesh

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No