

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(216)

CRM-M-14646-2022

Date of decision: - 19.04.2022

Amit Khatri

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a second bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.749 dated 06.11.2020, registered under Sections 304 and 420 IPC and Section 72-A of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 (Haryana Amendment Bill, 2020), at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that in the present case the Investigating Officer and the complainant are the material witnesses and they have not been examined as yet.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, seeks to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, with liberty to file fresh petition after the Investigating Officer and the complainant are

examined. He has also submitted that since the petitioner has been in custody since 14.11.2020, thus, the direction be given to the trial Court to examine the Investigating Officer and the complainant at the first instance as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage, with liberty to file a fresh petition after the Investigating Officer and the complainant are examined.

The State is directed to produce the Investigating Officer and the complainant as expeditiously as possible and get the said witnesses examined first. The trial Court is also requested to examine the Investigating Officer and the complainant as expeditiously as possible.

April 19, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No