

118
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11728-2020
Date of Decision : **August 23, 2022**

(I)
Dharminder Kumar @ Lovely

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CRM-M-11732-2020

(II)
Dharminder Kumar @ Lovely

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : None for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

Both the petitions are taken up together since the petitioner in both the cases is the same.

Both the petitions were filed on the same date i.e. 16.03.2020. CRM-M-11728-2020 has been filed seeking quashing of order dated 28.10.2010 whereby the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender alongwith other consequential proceedings on the basis of compromise dated 09.03.2020.

CRM-M-11732-2020 has been filed for quashing of the FIR No. 150 dated 23.06.2010, under Sections 341, 325, 323, 324, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Khanna, District Ludhiana alongwith all other consequential proceedings based upon compromise dated 09.03.2020.

Both the petitions came up for hearing on 19.03.2020 for the first time and nobody had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and it was observed by this Court that despite the fact that the matter was called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner and in the interest of justice, both the cases were adjourned to 30.03.2020. Thereafter both the cases were adjourned by the NIC Branch of this Court due to Covid-19 pandemic and lastly, it was ordered to be listed on 05.04.2022. On 05.04.2022 again nobody had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner and both the matters were adjourned to 31.05.2022. Again on 31.05.2022 nobody had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner and both the matters were adjourned to 23.08.2022 in the interest of justice. On the last three consecutive dates, nobody had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner even for a single date after filing of the petitions.

Today, again the matter has been called twice but nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present cases.

In view of the above, both the petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

23.08.2022
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No