

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7253-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.04.2022**

BALBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that no action is being taken against respondent No.5, even though representation dated 16.11.2021, copy (Annexure P-6) has been submitted before respondent No.4. Thus, a direction may be issued to him to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the pending representation dated 16.11.2021(Annexure P-6), in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Opportunity of

hearing be also granted to respondent No.5 before any order is passed.

06.04.2022
Amandeep

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned ? Yes/No

Whether referred to Reporters ? Yes/No