

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP-3187-2022

Date of Decision: 07.04.2022

DARAM DEVI @ DINESH RANI AND ORS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Bali, A.P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of direction to official respondents No. 1 to 4 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no. 5 to 10.

Respondent No.5 is the son of petitioner No.1;
respondent No.6 is the daughter-in-law of petitioner No.1.
Respondents No. 7 to 10 are the relatives of respondent No.6.

The case of the petitioner is that respondent No.5, who was earlier residing alongwith petitioner No.1 used to drink and threaten and even beat petitioner No.1. He wanted to force petitioner

No.1 to transfer the property in his name. In this regard petitioner No.1 submitted complaints (Annexure P-3 to P-5) to the local police.

Learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh states that the said complaints were looked into and the statement of respondent No.5 was also recorded, wherein he stated that he would not interfere or cause any harm to petitioner No.1 and he would also shift to a separate residence.

The apprehension of petitioner No.1 is that respondent No.6 may again repeat the incidents and cause harm to her.

In case any such incident occurs, it would be open to the petitioner to approach the official respondents who will look into any such grievance in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

April 07, 2022
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no