

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(129)

CWP No. 7264 of 2022

Date of Decision : 06.04.2022

Roop Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The prayer of the petitioner in the present petition is that the service, which he had rendered but for which period the contributory provident fund was not deducted by the respondents, the said period has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits, which act of the respondents is contrary to the settled principle of law settled by this Court in CWP No. 5897 of 2003 titled as ***Prem Chand Tagla and others Vs. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 09.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said judgment, the respondents have also issued Notification that all the similarly situated employees be also granted the benefits keeping in view the decision in ***Prem Chand Tagla's case (supra)***. Learned counsel for the

has already been raised by the petitioner by filing the representation dated 26.04.2021 (Annexure P-7) with the respondents claiming the said benefit and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner keeping in view the settled principle of law in ***Prem Chand Tagla's case (supra)***.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 26.04.2021 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by taking into consideration the judgment passed in ***Prem Chand Tagla's case (supra)***.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 26.04.2021 (Annexure P-7), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order. In case, after the decision of the claim of the petitioner, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the monetary benefits, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

April 06, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No