

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(101)

CRM-M-14484-2022

Date of Decision : April 07, 2022

Randesh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Rahul Kumar Adia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“ Mr. Lakhvir Kumar, Advocate appears on behalf of the petitioner and requests for an adjournment on the ground that the learned arguing counsel is in personal difficulty. He requests that the present petition may kindly be taken up for consideration tomorrow.

Adjourned to 07.04.2022.

It is made clear that in case, no one appears, the appropriate order on the prayer of the petitioner will be passed keeping in view the fact that the learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has secured interim bail from the trial Court and is not appearing before the trial Court and is seeking adjournments by moving applications.”

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not intend to press the present petition for the grant of regular bail.

As prayed for, the present petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

It is made clear that the trial Court will proceed in accordance with law after ascertaining whether the petitioner is misusing any concession already awarded to him or not.

April 07, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No