

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3135-2022

Date of decision : 06.04.2022

Soniya Rani and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Through this criminal writ petition, the petitioners are praying for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from private respondent Nos.4 to 6, as they have entered into marriage on 03.04.2022 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that despite the representation dated 03.04.2022 (Annexure P-5), no action has been taken by Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala as the petitioners are facing threats to their life at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 6 for performing marriage against their wishes. She prays that appropriate direction be issued.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the petitioner No.1, who is daughter of respondent No.4 is residing with petitioner No.2 at his house and in the representation, there is no request either by petitioner No.2 or on his behalf and the only apprehension expressed relates to anticipated action of private respondents

that they would break their marriage. The representation dated 03.04.2022 (Annexure P-5) filed by petitioners is vague and does not contain even necessary particulars.

Further, the apprehension expressed by the petitioners that private respondents would implicate them in a false criminal case, cannot be construed as a threat as they too are free to avail their remedy in law

Resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

06.04.2022		(MANOJ BAJAJ)
vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	JUDGE
	Whether Reportable :	Yes No
		Yes No