

BALJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

V/S

SARMAIL SINGH

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. A.S.Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.
Respondent-in person.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 13.12.2019 passed by the Court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur vide which the respondent has been directed to pay maintenance @ Rs.8,000/- and Rs.6,000/-p.m. in favour of petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

The respondent had appeared in person and submits that he had instituted a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage and the same was dismissed by the trial Court vide judgment dated 08.01.2013. The respondent has preferred an appeal against the said judgment and the same has been allowed and disposed of in terms of the order dated 06.07.2022 passed in FAO-M-96-2013. In terms of the said order he has paid a sum of Rs. 12 Lakhs and Rs. 6 Lakhs to petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 respectively on account of permanent alimony.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects but has expressed his inability to verify as to whether the amount has been actually paid within a period of one month or

not in terms of the order dated 06.07.2022. However, it has been stated that in view of the categorical assertions, the petition may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to seek revival thereof, in the event, the amount has not been paid by the respondent, as projected by him today in the Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

07.09.2022

Janki

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No