

[123] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-677-2022

Date of Decision : 05.05.2022

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Sanjeev Kaushal, Chief
Secretary and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2021, in CWP-12560-2021, in case titled as Vinod Kumar vs. .State of Haryana and others.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2021, reveals that CWP-12560-2021, was disposed of by directing the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as per representation dated 02.02.2021, as also by keeping in view the contentions raised in CWP-12560-2021 and take a decision in respect thereto, in accordance with law, within three months from the date of order.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, has filed reply on behalf of respondent No.1 along with copy of speaking order Annexure R/1 dated 28.04.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner and holding the petitioner not entitled to any relief for the post in question. The same is

petitioner, who on perusal of the same, states that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/1 dated 28.04.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.05.2022
rajesh

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>