

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14513-2022
Date of decision:14.11.2022

Nawab Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.556 dated 20.10.2021, registered at Police Station Sector 58, Faridabad, under Sections 379-A, 34 and 120-B IPC.

Vide order dated 01.08.2022 passed by this Court, the petitioner had been directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions as to whether the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has not joined investigation so far.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has opted not to join investigation and flouted the order passed by this Court. If an accused wilfully flouts the order of the

Court, then he cannot expect any equitable relief from the Court and he must be made to bear the consequences of his action. After having obtained a conditional order, the petitioner cannot be allowed to sleep over its compliance. If such a course is allowed, every accused will ignore the directions/orders issued by the Court.

In my view, in cases like this, the petitioner-accused in default must not be allowed to enjoy the benefits of his action. Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

14.11.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No