

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.204

CRM-M-14668-2022

Date of decision: 25.08.2022

Bhupendra Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.508 dated 14.12.2021 registered under Section 363 IPC at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal.

On 07.04.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case at the behest of the complainant on account of his marriage with the complainant's daughter which was without the complainant's consent; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the petitioner and the complainant's daughter got married on 15.12.2021 and in this regard reference is made to a marriage certificate of the same date (Annexure P-2); the petitioner and the complainant's daughter had filed a joint petition before this Court being CRWP-517-2022 – Sushma and another vs. State of Haryana and others in which through order dated 20.01.2022 they were granted protection and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 25.08.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., in the event of his arrest in FIR No.508 dated 14.12.2021, registered under Section 363 IPC at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 07.04.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

August 25, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No