

212 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14795-2022

Date of decision:19.04.2022

RAVINDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vineet Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.S. Rathore, A.P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate for complainant.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant application has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. FIR No.0042 of 26.02.2022, constitutes therein offences under Sections 364-A, 379-A, 506, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, and, is lodged at Police Station Central Sector-17, Chandigarh, thereins the incriminating offences are attributed to be committed by the bail petitioner.

2. Without delving deep into the merits of the case, the factum of the present bail petitioner suffering judicial incarceration, since 28.02.2022, may be a good, and, tangible ground, for this Court proceeding to admit, the bail petitioner to regular bail.

3. However, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent-U.T. submits, that unless this Court imposes an imperative condition, upon the present bail petitioner, and, as comprised in his being directed to deposit, in the establishment of the learned trial Magistrate concerned, the sums of money equivalent to the ones, as became looted or extorted at the behest of the present bail petitioner, from the complainant, rather this Court may not proceed to grant the indulgence of bail to the present bail

petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions given to him by the latter submits, that the afore contention, as reared before this Court, by the learned A.P.P. U.T. Chandigarh, is acceptable by him.

5. Therefore, given the prolonged judicial detention of the bail petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant the benefit of regular bail. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed, and, the petitioner-bail applicant is ordered to be released from judicial custody. However, subject to his furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of ₹25,000/- each, before the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, and, also subject to his depositing, in the establishment of the learned trial Magistrate concerned, a sum of ₹2,40,000/-, besides also subject to his not tampering with prosecution evidence, and, his not influencing prosecution witnesses, and, besides also his appearing before the trial Court concerned, as and when directed to make his personal appearance. It is clarified that the disbursement of the afore deposited sum of money, shall be regulated by the outcome of the trial, as may become entered into, by the learned trial Magistrate concerned.

6. Copy dasti.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

19.04.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No